

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/807,850      | 03/24/2004                         | Victor A. Bennett    | CP-4                | 5467            |
| 26379           | 7590 12/05/20                      | 06                   | EXAMINER            |                 |
|                 | R RUDNICK GRAY                     | LEWIS, ALICIA M      |                     |                 |
|                 | ERSITY AVENUE<br>LTO, CA 94303-224 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
| _,,,,,          |                                    |                      | 2164                |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/807,850   | BENNETT ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Alicia M. Lewis  | 2164   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the provision of the provision of the period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA<br>16(a). In no event, however, may a reply<br>rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH:<br>cause the application to become ABAN | NTION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma  | arch 2004.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | •  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | SAM RIMELI   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | PRIMARY EXAMINER   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Sur   | mmary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/I  | Mail Date  brmal Patent Application  |  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 5) Notice of Info<br>6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: claims 1-9, drawn to a graph engine for manipulating data in a database, and claims 10-17, drawn to a method for manipulating data in a hardware database using a graph engine.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions

Art Unit: 2164

have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 - 6:30, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on 571-272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alicia Lewis October 17, 2006

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER